

Norway

**Yvette Peters, Coordinator, University of Bergen  
Troy Saghaug Broderstad, Mari Skåra  
Helliesen, Scientific team, University of Bergen**

## **Representantpanelet 2018**

**Study Documentation**

May 3, 2018

# Metadata Production

<b>Metadata Producer(s)</b>	Øivind Skjervheim , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Asle Høgestøl , ideas2evidence , Project Team Member
<b>Production Date</b>	May 14, 2018
<b>Identification</b>	R2018

# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview</a>	4
<a href="#">Scope &amp; Coverage</a>	4
<a href="#">Producers &amp; Sponsors</a>	4
<a href="#">Sampling</a>	4
<a href="#">Data Collection</a>	4
<a href="#">Files Description</a>	6
<a href="#">Representantpanelet - runde 1</a>	6
<a href="#">Variables List</a>	7
<a href="#">Representantpanelet - runde 1</a>	7
<a href="#">Variables Description</a>	13
<a href="#">Representantpanelet - runde 1</a>	14

## Representantpanelet 2018

### Overview

<b>Identification</b>	R2018
-----------------------	-------

#### Abstract

The Panel of Elected Representatives is an internet-based survey of elected representatives, at all political levels, in Norway. The survey deals with matters that are important to society, representation and democracy. All elected politicians are invited to participate.

The first survey was fielded in spring 2018 and we are planning on approximately annual or biannual surveys. The Panel of Elected Representatives is part of The Digital Social Science Core Facility (DIGSSCORE) at the University of Bergen (UiB). The Panel of Elected Representatives is also affiliated with the Norwegian Citizen Panel. The University of Bergen is the owner and treatment manager of the Panel of Elected Representatives. Ideas2Evidence deals with the practical implementation of the survey, and is responsible for recruiting participants, as well as sending and receiving surveys to and from respondents. The data is stored encrypted and secure in UiB's solution for safe processing of sensitive personal data in research, 'SAFE'. The panel has been reported to and processed by the Data Protection Official for Research at the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD) pursuant to the Personal Data Act. More information can be found at [www.uib.no/representant](http://www.uib.no/representant) <<http://www.uib.no/representant>>.

<b>Unit of Analysis</b>	Elected representatives
-------------------------	-------------------------

### Scope & Coverage

<b>Countries</b>	Norway
------------------	--------

#### Geographic Coverage

National geographic coverage

#### Universe

All elected representatives in Norway

### Producers & Sponsors

<b>Primary Investigator(s)</b>	Yvette Peters, Coordinator, University of Bergen Troy Saghaug Broderstad, Mari Skåra Helliesen, Scientific team, University of Bergen
--------------------------------	--

<b>Other Producer(s)</b>	Øivind Skjervheim , ideas2evidence , Coordinator Asle Høgestøl , ideas2evidence , Project team member
--------------------------	--

### Sampling

#### Sampling Procedure

All elected representatives at all political levels in Norway - municipal councils, county councils, the Storting (parliament) and the Sami Parliament of Norway - are invited to participate in the Panel of Elected Representatives. The contact information has been collected through Kommuneforlaget AS's registers, as well as public information from the websites of municipalities, county municipalities, the Storting and the Sami Parliament of Norway.

### Data Collection

<b>Data Collection Mode</b>	The survey is based on an online questionnaire with postal recruitment. For a more detailed description, please see the documentation report from wave 1.
-----------------------------	---

<b>Depositor(s)</b>	
---------------------	--

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

<b>Representantpanelet - runde 1</b>	
<b># Cases</b>	4321
<b># Variable(s)</b>	73

# Variables List

Dataset contains 73 variable(s)

File Representantpanelet - runde 1							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	<a href="#">responseid</a>	responseid	discrete	numeric-11.0	-	-	-
2	<a href="#">r01inter ..</a>	[Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-19	-	-	-
3	<a href="#">r01inter ..</a>	[Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]	discrete	character-19	-	-	-
4	<a href="#">r01brows ..</a>	[Browertype used by respondent]	discrete	character-255	-	-	-
5	<a href="#">r01brows ..</a>	[Browser version used by respondent]	discrete	character-255	-	-	-
6	<a href="#">r01mobil</a>	[Determines if the respondents uses mobile]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	-
7	<a href="#">r01opplo ..</a>	[Screen resolution of the applied device]	discrete	character-255	-	-	-
8	<a href="#">r01enhet ..</a>	[Determines respondents device type.]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	-
9	<a href="#">r01per36</a>	How many years have you been an elected representative?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	How many years have you been an elected representative (regardless of where you have been elected)?
10	<a href="#">r01per41</a>	How important do you believe it is that representatives are good communicators?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	How important do you believe it is that representatives are good communicators?
11	<a href="#">r01per42</a>	How important do you believe it is that representatives are able to understand the complexity of specialized issues and how these affect different groups in different ways?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	How important do you believe it is that representatives are able to understand the complexity of specialized issues and how these affect different groups in different ways?
12	<a href="#">r01per15</a>	In your view, how well are women represented in Norwegian politics?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	In your view, how well are women represented in Norwegian politics?
13	<a href="#">r01per33</a>	How much do you oppose or support Norwegian membership in the EU?	discrete	numeric-2.0	4321	0	Now thinking about the European Union, how much do you oppose or support Norwegian membership in the EU?
14	<a href="#">r01per11</a>	What is your opinion on refugees who come to Norway and seek asylum and their opportunity to be granted residence here?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	What is your opinion on refugees who come to Norway and seek asylum and their opportunity to be granted residence here? Compared to how it is today, do you mean it should be:
15	<a href="#">r01per40</a>	Which party do you represent?	discrete	numeric-2.0	4321	0	Which party do you represent?
16	<a href="#">r01per40 ..</a>	Other: Which party do you represent? [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-255	0	0	Hvilket parti representerer du?

<b>File Representantpanelet - runde 1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
17	<a href="#">r01per35</a>	Is your political party in governing position or opposition at the level at which you are a representative?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Is your political party in governing position or opposition at the level at which you are a representative?
18	<a href="#">r01per18_1</a>	Contact with: Youth, and student organizations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Youth, and student organizations
19	<a href="#">r01per18_2</a>	Contact with: Organizations for elderly	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Organizations for elderly
20	<a href="#">r01per18_3</a>	Contact with: Labour unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Labour unions
21	<a href="#">r01per18_4</a>	Contact with: Employers' organizations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Employers' organizations
22	<a href="#">r01per18_5</a>	Contact with: Women's organizations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Women's organizations
23	<a href="#">r01per18_6</a>	Contact with: Organizations for ethnic minorities, immigrants or refugees	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Organizations for ethnic minorities, immigrants or refugees
24	<a href="#">r01per18_7</a>	Contact with: Agriculture and fisheries organizations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Agriculture and fisheries organizations
25	<a href="#">r01per18_8</a>	Contact with: Patient organizations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Patient organizations
26	<a href="#">r01per18_9</a>	Contact with: Humanitarian aid organizations	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Humanitarian aid organizations
27	<a href="#">r01per26_1</a>	Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Media
28	<a href="#">r01per26_2</a>	Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Opinion polls	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Opinion polls
29	<a href="#">r01per26_3</a>	Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Personal contact with voters at events and / or meetings	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Personal contact with voters at events and / or meetings
30	<a href="#">r01per26_4</a>	Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Social media	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Social media
31	<a href="#">r01per26_5</a>	Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Voters who contact you (e.g. via email / phone)	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Voters who contact you (e.g. via email / phone)
32	<a href="#">r01per9</a>	Agree/Disagree: The number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	From the year 2020, Norway will have 356 municipalities instead of 422 as of today. The number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers.
33	<a href="#">r01per4</a>	Agree/Disagree: The police reform will contribute to more visible and accessible police.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	The police reform will contribute to more visible and accessible police.



File Representantpanelet - runde 1							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
34	<a href="#">r01per2</a>	Agree/Disagree: The government should work more to significantly reduce the income differences in society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	The government should work more to significantly reduce the income differences in society.
35	<a href="#">r01per8</a>	Agree/Disagree: The authorities should introduce more measures to protect citizens from potential terrorist threats, even though this may be at the expense of privacy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	The authorities should introduce more measures to protect citizens from potential terrorist threats, even though this may be at the expense of privacy.
36	<a href="#">r01per6</a>	Agree/Disagree: All new passenger cars from 2025 should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	All new passenger cars from 2025 should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar.
37	<a href="#">r01per7</a>	Agree/Disagree: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas.
38	<a href="#">r01per1</a>	Agree/Disagree: It should be possible for parents to send their children to Muslim schools that follow the national curriculum, but also teach the Islamic faith.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	It should be possible for parents to send their children to Muslim schools that follow the national curriculum, but also teach the Islamic faith.
39	<a href="#">r01per5</a>	Agree/Disagree: It is important that the municipalities implement nationally agreed objectives.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	It is important that the municipalities implement nationally agreed objectives.
40	<a href="#">r01per10</a>	Agree/Disagree: It is important that the municipalities have freedom to develop a service offer that is locally adapted.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	It is important that the municipalities have freedom to develop a service offer that is locally adapted.
41	<a href="#">r01per3</a>	Agree/Disagree: The government should work to reduce the wealth differences in society to a greater extent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	The government should work to reduce the wealth differences in society to a greater extent.
42	<a href="#">r01rpse1..</a>	[Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects [the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality received higher education, and/the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality did not receive higher education, and]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4242	79	-
43	<a href="#">r01rpse1..</a>	[Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects [the majority of the citizens received higher education./ the majority of the citizens did not receive higher education.]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4242	79	-
44	<a href="#">r01rpse1..</a>	[Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects	discrete	numeric-1.0	4242	79	-

File Representantpanelet - runde 1							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		[represent most citizens/take decisions which will benefit most citizens]]					
45	<a href="#">r01rpse1</a>	Experiment: How confident are you that the municipal council is able to [represent most citizens/take decisions which will benefit most citizens] in this municipality?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	<a href="#">r01rpse1 question details</a>
46	<a href="#">r01rpse2..</a>	[Background variable for r01rpse2. Randomly selects [Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that we could lose valuable insights in women's perspectives when women are not represented well enough./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that an imbalance in gender representation does not ensure that we exploit all available talent./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that both women and men should have equal possibilities to be represented./Blank]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4237	84	-
47	<a href="#">r01rpse2..</a>	[Background variable for r01rpse2. Randomly selects [in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support/in municipal councils/in boards of listed companies and public businesses/Blank]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4237	84	-
48	<a href="#">r01rpse2</a>	Experiment: How strongly do you support or oppose gender quotas?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	<a href="#">r01rpse2 question details</a>
49	<a href="#">r01rpse3..</a>	[Background variable for r01rpse3. Randomly selects [35/47/53/85]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4231	90	-
50	<a href="#">r01rpse3..</a>	[Background variable for r01rpse3. Randomly selects [the yes-side/the no-side/the winning side]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4231	90	-
51	<a href="#">r01rpse3..</a>	[Background variable for r01rpse3. Randomly selects [51/55/70]]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4231	90	-
52	<a href="#">r01rpse3</a>	Experiment: Should the government act according to the result of the EU-referendum, even if the majority in Parliament disagrees?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Say that [35/47/53/85] per cent of the population participated and [the yes-side/the no-side/the winning side] received [51/55/70] of the votes. In such a case, should the government act according to the result of the

File Representantpanelet - runde 1							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							referendum, even if the majority in Parliament disagrees?
53	<a href="#">r01per12</a>	Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to reducing income inequality in society?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to reducing income inequality in society?
54	<a href="#">r01per13</a>	Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to refugees' access to stay in Norway?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to refugees' access to stay in Norway?
55	<a href="#">r01per14</a>	Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to whether all new passenger cars should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar by the year 2025?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to whether all new passenger cars should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar by the year 2025?
56	<a href="#">r01per32</a>	In the national parliamentary elections, do you believe that voters mostly vote on the basis of the political plans for the future or rather on the basis of previous actions?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	In the national parliamentary elections, do you believe that voters mostly vote on the basis of the political plans for the future or rather on the basis of previous actions?
57	<a href="#">r01per16_1</a>	What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe think that wealth differences in society should be reduced?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3959	362	What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe think that wealth differences in society should be reduced?
58	<a href="#">r01per16..</a>	My party does not run in the national election: What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe think that wealth differences in society should be reduced?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	My party does not run in the national election
59	<a href="#">r01per17_1</a>	What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe support oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas	discrete	numeric-3.0	3787	534	What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe support oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas
60	<a href="#">r01per17..</a>	My party does not run in the national election: What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe support oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas	discrete	numeric-1.0	4321	0	My party does not run in the national election
61	<a href="#">r01per34</a>	What is your highest completed education?	discrete	numeric-2.0	4321	0	What is your highest completed education?
62	<a href="#">r01per34..</a>	None of the above: What is your highest completed	discrete	character-255	0	0	None of the above

<b>File Representantpanelet - runde 1</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		education? [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]					
63	<a href="#">r01per37</a>	What year were you born?	discrete	numeric-11.0	-	-	-
64	<a href="#">r01per39</a>	If you have any comments or suggestions regarding the survey, you can express them here [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]	discrete	character-11	-	-	If you have any comments or suggestions regarding the survey, you can express them here.
65	<a href="#">r01P1</a>	Gender	discrete	numeric-11.0	4321	0	-
66	<a href="#">r01P2_1</a>	Municipality where the representative is elected	discrete	numeric-11.0	-	-	-
67	<a href="#">r01P2_2</a>	County where the representative is elected	discrete	numeric-11.0	4321	0	-
68	<a href="#">r01P2_3</a>	Region where the representative is elected	discrete	numeric-11.0	4321	0	-
69	<a href="#">r01P3</a>	Administrative level to which the representative is elected	discrete	numeric-11.0	4321	0	-
70	<a href="#">r01P4_1</a>	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-11.0	4321	0	-
71	<a href="#">r01P4_2</a>	Highest level of completed education	discrete	numeric-11.0	4321	0	-
72	<a href="#">r01P5_1</a>	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-11.0	4321	0	-
73	<a href="#">r01P5_2</a>	Year of birth	discrete	numeric-11.0	4321	0	-

# Variables Description

Dataset contains 73 variable(s)

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # responseid: responseid

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=\*]

### # r01interview\_start: [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

Interviewer's instructions [Date and time of when the respondent first opened the questionnaire. Excel-format.]

### # r01interview\_end: [Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

Interviewer's instructions [Date and time of when the respondent completed the questionnaire. Excel-format.]

### # r01browsertype: [Browertype used by respondent]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

Interviewer's instructions [Browertype used by respondent]

### # r01browserversion: [Browser version used by respondent]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

Interviewer's instructions [Browser version used by respondent]

### # r01mobil: [Determines if the respondents uses mobile]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Determines if the respondents uses mobile ]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	other	3420	79.1%
1	mobile	901	20.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01opplosning: [Screen resolution of the applied device]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=\*]

Interviewer's instructions [Screen resolution of the applied device]

### # r01enhetstype: [Determines respondents device type.]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Interviewer's instructions [Determines respondents device type.]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC/Mac/Tablet	3434	79.5%
2	Touch (iPhone/iPod Touch/Android phones)	883	20.4%
3	Generic	4	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per36: How many years have you been an elected representative?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Literal question How many years have you been an elected representative (regardless of where you have been elected)?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0-3	1276	29.5%

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per36: How many years have you been an elected representative?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	4-7	965	22.3%
3	8-11	690	16.0%
4	12-15	472	10.9%
5	16-19	320	7.4%
6	20, or more	586	13.6%
97	Not answered	12	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per41: How important do you believe it is that representatives are good communicators?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you believe it is that representatives are good communicators?
<b>Post-question</b>	With this we mean that they help citizens to understand complex issues and in what way these issues affect citizens
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researchers: Quinton Mayne/Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	0	
2	Slightly important	19	0.4%
3	Somewhat important	303	7.0%
4	Important	2205	51.0%
5	Very important	1766	40.9%
97	Not answered	28	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per42: How important do you believe it is that representatives are able to understand the complexity of specialized issues and how these affect different groups in different ways?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	How important do you believe it is that representatives are able to understand the complexity of specialized issues and how these affect different groups in different ways?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researchers: Quinton Mayne/Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	1	0.0%
2	Slightly important	13	0.3%
3	Somewhat important	151	3.5%
4	Important	1838	42.5%
5	Very important	2288	53.0%
97	Not answered	30	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per15: In your view, how well are women represented in Norwegian politics?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In your view, how well are women represented in Norwegian politics?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researchers: Ragnhild Muriaas/Yvette Peters

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per15: In your view, how well are women represented in Norwegian politics?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Underrepresented	455	10.5%
2	Somewhat underrepresented	1898	43.9%
3	Well represented	1838	42.5%
4	Somewhat overrepresented	89	2.1%
5	Overrepresented	25	0.6%
97	Not answered	16	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per33: How much do you oppose or support Norwegian membership in the EU?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Now thinking about the European Union, how much do you oppose or support Norwegian membership in the EU?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Troy Saghaug Broderstad Question asked in wave 9 of the Citizel Panel: "eips2017d"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 - I completely oppose Norwegian EU membership.	1494	34.6%
1	1	287	6.6%
2	2	367	8.5%
3	3	343	7.9%
4	4	198	4.6%
5	5	342	7.9%
6	6	212	4.9%
7	7	238	5.5%
8	8	287	6.6%
9	9	130	3.0%
10	10 - I completely support Norwegian EU membership.	401	9.3%
97	Not answered	22	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per11: What is your opinion on refugees who come to Norway and seek asylum and their opportunity to be granted residence here?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your opinion on refugees who come to Norway and seek asylum and their opportunity to be granted residence here? Compared to how it is today, do you mean it should be:
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters Question asked in wave 11 of the Citizel Panel: "r11pkmeme9"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much easier	150	3.5%
2	Easier	537	12.4%
3	Somewhat easier	1000	23.1%
4	Same as today	1541	35.7%



## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per11: What is your opinion on refugees who come to Norway and seek asylum and their opportunity to be granted residence here?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Slightly more difficult	509	11.8%
6	More difficult	328	7.6%
7	Much more difficult	233	5.4%
97	Not answered	23	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per40: Which party do you represent?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Which party do you represent?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The Labour Party	1418	32.8%
2	The Progress Party	296	6.9%
3	The Conservative Party	820	19.0%
4	The Christian Democrats	289	6.7%
5	The Green Party	118	2.7%
6	The Red Party	41	0.9%
7	The Centre Party	650	15.0%
8	The Socialist Left Party	206	4.8%
9	The Liberal Party	232	5.4%
10	Democrats in Norway	2	0.0%
11	Coastal Party	1	0.0%
12	The Christians Party	1	0.0%
13	Pensioners' Party	19	0.4%
14	Other (Please enter the name of your party)	208	4.8%
97	Not answered	20	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per40\_14\_other: Other: Which party do you represent? [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Hvilket parti representerer du?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

### # r01per35: Is your political party in governing position or opposition at the level at which you are a representative?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Is your political party in governing position or opposition at the level at which you are a representative?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Governing position	2472	57.2%
2	Opposition	1828	42.3%
97	Not answered	21	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per18\_1: Contact with: Youth, and student organizations

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	As a representative, how often have you been in contact with the following types of voluntary organizations during the past year?
<b>Literal question</b>	Youth, and student organizations
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	1311	30.3%
2	1 – 6 times	2161	50.0%
3	7 – 12 times	422	9.8%
4	At least once a month	174	4.0%
5	At least once a week	41	0.9%
97	Not answered	212	4.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per18\_2: Contact with: Organizations for elderly

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	As a representative, how often have you been in contact with the following types of voluntary organizations during the past year?
<b>Literal question</b>	Organizations for elderly
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	1288	29.8%
2	1 – 6 times	2173	50.3%
3	7 – 12 times	470	10.9%
4	At least once a month	185	4.3%
5	At least once a week	26	0.6%
97	Not answered	179	4.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per18\_3: Contact with: Labour unions

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	As a representative, how often have you been in contact with the following types of voluntary organizations during the past year?
<b>Literal question</b>	Labour unions
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	1075	24.9%
2	1 – 6 times	1985	45.9%
3	7 – 12 times	629	14.6%
4	At least once a month	340	7.9%
5	At least once a week	112	2.6%

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per18\_3: Contact with: Labour unions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Not answered	180	4.2%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # r01per18\_4: Contact with: Employers' organizations

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	As a representative, how often have you been in contact with the following types of voluntary organizations during the past year?
<b>Literal question</b>	Employers' organizations
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	1775	41.1%
2	1 – 6 times	1759	40.7%
3	7 – 12 times	364	8.4%
4	At least once a month	160	3.7%
5	At least once a week	32	0.7%
97	Not answered	231	5.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # r01per18\_5: Contact with: Women's organizations

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	As a representative, how often have you been in contact with the following types of voluntary organizations during the past year?
<b>Literal question</b>	Women's organizations
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	2433	56.3%
2	1 – 6 times	1380	31.9%
3	7 – 12 times	180	4.2%
4	At least once a month	61	1.4%
5	At least once a week	16	0.4%
97	Not answered	251	5.8%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # r01per18\_6: Contact with: Organizations for ethnic minorities, immigrants or refugees

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	As a representative, how often have you been in contact with the following types of voluntary organizations during the past year?
<b>Literal question</b>	Organizations for ethnic minorities, immigrants or refugees
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	2095	48.5%

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per18\_6: Contact with: Organizations for ethnic minorities, immigrants or refugees

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	1 – 6 times	1665	38.5%
3	7 – 12 times	223	5.2%
4	At least once a month	86	2.0%
5	At least once a week	32	0.7%
97	Not answered	220	5.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per18\_7: Contact with: Agriculture and fisheries organizations

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	As a representative, how often have you been in contact with the following types of voluntary organizations during the past year?
<b>Literal question</b>	Agriculture and fisheries organizations
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	1841	42.6%
2	1 – 6 times	1774	41.1%
3	7 – 12 times	332	7.7%
4	At least once a month	116	2.7%
5	At least once a week	33	0.8%
97	Not answered	225	5.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per18\_8: Contact with: Patient organizations

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	As a representative, how often have you been in contact with the following types of voluntary organizations during the past year?
<b>Literal question</b>	Patient organizations
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	2316	53.6%
2	1 – 6 times	1484	34.3%
3	7 – 12 times	175	4.0%
4	At least once a month	79	1.8%
5	At least once a week	16	0.4%
97	Not answered	251	5.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per18\_9: Contact with: Humanitarian aid organizations

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	As a representative, how often have you been in contact with the following types of voluntary organizations during the past year?

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per18\_9: Contact with: Humanitarian aid organizations

**Literal question** Humanitarian aid organizations

**Interviewer's instructions** Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No contact	1581	36.6%
2	1 – 6 times	2007	46.4%
3	7 – 12 times	350	8.1%
4	At least once a month	143	3.3%
5	At least once a week	34	0.8%
97	Not answered	206	4.8%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # r01per26\_1: Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Media

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Pre-question** How important are the following channels for you in learning about voters' preferences and interests?

**Literal question** Media

**Interviewer's instructions** Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	40	0.9%
2	Slightly important	201	4.7%
3	Somewhat important	1065	24.6%
4	Important	2149	49.7%
5	Very important	737	17.1%
97	Not answered	129	3.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # r01per26\_2: Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Opinion polls

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Pre-question** How important are the following channels for you in learning about voters' preferences and interests?

**Literal question** Opinion polls

**Interviewer's instructions** Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	164	3.8%
2	Slightly important	883	20.4%
3	Somewhat important	1902	44.0%
4	Important	1072	24.8%
5	Very important	174	4.0%
97	Not answered	126	2.9%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # r01per26\_3: Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Personal contact with voters at events and / or meetings

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per26\_3: Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Personal contact with voters at events and / or meetings

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Pre-question How important are the following channels for you in learning about voters' preferences and interests?

Literal question Personal contact with voters at events and / or meetings

Interviewer's instructions Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	8	0.2%
2	Slightly important	55	1.3%
3	Somewhat important	427	9.9%
4	Important	2016	46.7%
5	Very important	1735	40.2%
97	Not answered	80	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per26\_4: Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Social media

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Pre-question How important are the following channels for you in learning about voters' preferences and interests?

Literal question Social media

Interviewer's instructions Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	137	3.2%
2	Slightly important	479	11.1%
3	Somewhat important	1290	29.9%
4	Important	1673	38.7%
5	Very important	630	14.6%
97	Not answered	112	2.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per26\_5: Importance of channel for learning about voters' preferences and interests: Voters who contact you (e.g. via email / phone)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Pre-question How important are the following channels for you in learning about voters' preferences and interests?

Literal question Voters who contact you (e.g. via email / phone)

Interviewer's instructions Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not important at all	28	0.6%
2	Slightly important	152	3.5%
3	Somewhat important	687	15.9%
4	Important	1968	45.5%
5	Very important	1394	32.3%
97	Not answered	92	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per9: Agree/Disagree: The number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	From the year 2020, Norway will have 356 municipalities instead of 422 as of today. The number of municipalities should be reduced further by more mergers.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Anne Lise Fimreite Question asked in wave 11 of the Citizel Panel: "r11pk55"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	936	21.7%
2	Agree	739	17.1%
3	Agree somewhat	688	15.9%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	241	5.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	356	8.2%
6	Disagree	627	14.5%
7	Strongly disagree	662	15.3%
97	Not answered	72	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per4: Agree/Disagree: The police reform will contribute to more visible and accessible police.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	The police reform will contribute to more visible and accessible police.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Anne Lise Fimreite

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	278	6.4%
2	Agree	572	13.2%
3	Agree somewhat	436	10.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	479	11.1%
5	Disagree somewhat	619	14.3%
6	Disagree	958	22.2%
7	Strongly disagree	891	20.6%
97	Not answered	88	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per2: Agree/Disagree: The government should work more to significantly reduce the income differences in society.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	The government should work more to significantly reduce the income differences in society.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters Question asked in wave 11 of the Citizel Panel: "r11pk60"

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

# r01per2: Agree/Disagree: The government should work more to significantly reduce the income differences in society.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1638	37.9%
2	Agree	1142	26.4%
3	Agree somewhat	698	16.2%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	389	9.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	175	4.0%
6	Disagree	139	3.2%
7	Strongly disagree	59	1.4%
97	Not answered	81	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r01per8: Agree/Disagree: The authorities should introduce more measures to protect citizens from potential terrorist threats, even though this may be at the expense of privacy.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	The authorities should introduce more measures to protect citizens from potential terrorist threats, even though this may be at the expense of privacy.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters Question asked in wave 11 of the Citizel Panel: "r11pk52"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	459	10.6%
2	Agree	850	19.7%
3	Agree somewhat	1072	24.8%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	423	9.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	580	13.4%
6	Disagree	573	13.3%
7	Strongly disagree	273	6.3%
97	Not answered	91	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r01per6: Agree/Disagree: All new passenger cars from 2025 should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	All new passenger cars from 2025 should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Mari Helliesen

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	536	12.4%
2	Agree	647	15.0%
3	Agree somewhat	741	17.1%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	339	7.8%
5	Disagree somewhat	572	13.2%



## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01per6: Agree/Disagree: All new passenger cars from 2025 should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Disagree	809	18.7%
7	Strongly disagree	586	13.6%
97	Not answered	91	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per7: Agree/Disagree: We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	We should not allow oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Mari Helliessen Question asked in several waves of the Citizel Panel, last in wave 11: "r11pkkm4"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1427	33.0%
2	Agree	656	15.2%
3	Agree somewhat	346	8.0%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	328	7.6%
5	Disagree somewhat	458	10.6%
6	Disagree	581	13.4%
7	Strongly disagree	435	10.1%
97	Not answered	90	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # r01per1: Agree/Disagree: It should be possible for parents to send their children to Muslim schools that follow the national curriculum, but also teach the Islamic faith.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	Today, it is possible for parents to send their children to Christian schools that follow the national curriculum, but also teach the Christian faith. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	It should be possible for parents to send their children to Muslim schools that follow the national curriculum, but also teach the Islamic faith.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters Question asked in wave 11 of the Citizel Panel: "r11pkdv55"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	123	2.8%
2	Agree	512	11.8%
3	Agree somewhat	590	13.7%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	584	13.5%
5	Disagree somewhat	497	11.5%
6	Disagree	954	22.1%
7	Strongly disagree	960	22.2%
97	Not answered	101	2.3%

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

# r01per1: Agree/Disagree: It should be possible for parents to send their children to Muslim schools that follow the national curriculum, but also teach the Islamic faith.

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# r01per5: Agree/Disagree: It is important that the municipalities implement nationally agreed objectives.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	It is important that the municipalities implement nationally agreed objectives.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Anne Lise Fimreite

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	525	12.1%
2	Agree	2027	46.9%
3	Agree somewhat	1056	24.4%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	290	6.7%
5	Disagree somewhat	237	5.5%
6	Disagree	64	1.5%
7	Strongly disagree	25	0.6%
97	Not answered	97	2.2%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# r01per10: Agree/Disagree: It is important that the municipalities have freedom to develop a service offer that is locally adapted.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	It is important that the municipalities have freedom to develop a service offer that is locally adapted.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Anne Lise Fimreite

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1642	38.0%
2	Agree	1893	43.8%
3	Agree somewhat	502	11.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	44	1.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	84	1.9%
6	Disagree	34	0.8%
7	Strongly disagree	17	0.4%
97	Not answered	105	2.4%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# r01per3: Agree/Disagree: The government should work to reduce the wealth differences in society to a greater extent.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following proposition/statement:
<b>Literal question</b>	The government should work to reduce the wealth differences in society to a greater extent.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Yvette Peters

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

# r01per3: Agree/Disagree: The government should work to reduce the wealth differences in society to a greater extent.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1000	23.1%
2	Agree	1000	23.1%
3	Agree somewhat	760	17.6%
4	Neither agree nor disagree	562	13.0%
5	Disagree somewhat	326	7.5%
6	Disagree	391	9.0%
7	Strongly disagree	179	4.1%
97	Not answered	103	2.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r01rpse1\_treatment1: [Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects [the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality received higher education, and/the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality did not receive higher education, and]]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4242 /-] [Invalid=79 /-]
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects [the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality received higher education, and/the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality did not receive higher education, and]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality received higher education, and	2118	49.9%
1	the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality did not receive higher education, and	2124	50.1%
Sysmiss		79	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r01rpse1\_treatment2: [Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects [the majority of the citizens received higher education./the majority of the citizens did not receive higher education.]]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4242 /-] [Invalid=79 /-]
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects [the majority of the citizens received higher education./the majority of the citizens did not receive higher education.]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	the majority of the citizens received higher education.	2069	48.8%
1	the majority of the citizens did not receive higher education.	2173	51.2%
Sysmiss		79	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r01rpse1\_dependent: [Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects [represent most citizens/take decisions which will benefit most citizens]]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4242 /-] [Invalid=79 /-]
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects [represent most citizens/take decisions which will benefit most citizens]]

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

# r01rpse1\_dependent: [Background variable for r01rpse1. Randomly selects [represent most citizens/take decisions which will benefit most citizens]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	represent most citizens	2084	49.1%
1	take decisions which will benefit most citizens	2158	50.9%
Sysmiss		79	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r01rpse1: Experiment: How confident are you that the municipal council is able to [represent most citizens/take decisions which will benefit most citizens] in this municipality?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	Imagine that [the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality received higher education, and/the majority of representatives in the council of a Norwegian municipality did not receive higher education, and] [the majority of the citizens received higher education./the majority of the citizens did not receive higher education.]  Based on only this information, how confident are you that the municipal council is able to [represent most citizens/take decisions which will benefit most citizens] in this municipality?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Experiment. See background variables]  Responsible researchers: Quinton Mayne/Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	362	8.4%
2	Confident	1996	46.2%
3	Moderately confident	1188	27.5%
4	Not very confident	585	13.5%
5	Not confident at all	69	1.6%
97	Not answered	121	2.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r01rpse2\_treatment1: [Background variable for r01rpse2. Randomly selects [Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that we could lose valuable insights in women's perspectives when women are not represented well enough./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that an imbalance in gender representation does not ensure that we exploit all available talent./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that both women and men should have equal possibilities to be represented./Blank]]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4237 /-] [Invalid=84 /-]
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Background variable for r01rpse2. Randomly selects [Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that we could lose valuable insights in women's perspectives when women are not represented well enough./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that an imbalance in gender representation does not ensure that we exploit all available talent./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that both women and men should have equal possibilities to be represented./Blank]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that we could lose valuable insights in women's perspectives when women are not represented well enough.	1020	24.1%
1	Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that an imbalance in gender representation does not ensure that we exploit all available talent.	1074	25.3%

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

# r01rpse2\_treatment1: [Background variable for r01rpse2. Randomly selects [Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that we could lose valuable insights in women's perspectives when women are not represented well enough./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that an imbalance in gender representation does not ensure that we exploit all available talent./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that both women and men should have equal possibilities to be represented./Blank]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that both women and men should have equal possibilities to be represented.	1077	25.4%
3	[Blank]	1066	25.2%
Sysmiss		84	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r01rpse2\_dependent: [Background variable for r01rpse2. Randomly selects [in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support/in municipal councils/in boards of listed companies and public businesses/Blank]]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4237 /-] [Invalid=84 /-]
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Background variable for r01rpse2. Randomly selects [in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support/in municipal councils/in boards of listed companies and public businesses/Blank]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support	1050	24.8%
1	in municipal councils	1056	24.9%
2	in boards of listed companies and public businesses	1047	24.7%
3	[Blank]	1084	25.6%
Sysmiss		84	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# r01rpse2: Experiment: How strongly do you support or oppose gender quotas?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In and around Norway, the use of quotas to ensure a gender balance in important boards or institutions is being discussed.  [Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that we could lose valuable insights in women's perspectives when women are not represented well enough./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that an imbalance in gender representation does not ensure that we exploit all available talent./Some are against using quotas as a means of changing the gender composition while others claim that both women and men should have equal possibilities to be represented./Blank]]  How strongly do you support or oppose gender quotas [in boards of religious communities that enjoy state support/in municipal councils/in boards of listed companies and public businesses/Blank]]?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Experiment. See background variables]  Responsible researchers: Ragnhild Muriaas/Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Støtter svært sterkt	461	10.7%
2	Støtter sterkt	1172	27.1%
3	Støtter noe	1194	27.6%
4	Verken støtter eller motsetter meg	474	11.0%
5	Motsetter meg noe	478	11.1%
6	Motsetter meg sterkt	262	6.1%

## File : Representantpanelet - runde 1

### # r01rpse2: Experiment: How strongly do you support or oppose gender quotas?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Motsetter meg svært sterkt	171	4.0%
97	Not answered	109	2.5%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # r01rpse3\_treatment1: [Background variable for r01rpse3. Randomly selects [35/47/53/85]]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4231 /-] [Invalid=90 /-]
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Background variable for r01rpse3. Randomly selects [35/47/53/85]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	35	1017	24.0%
1	47	1164	27.5%
2	53	1033	24.4%
3	85	1017	24.0%
Sysmiss		90	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # r01rpse3\_treatment2: [Background variable for r01rpse3. Randomly selects [the yes-side/the no-side/the winning side]]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4231 /-] [Invalid=90 /-]
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Background variable for r01rpse3. Randomly selects [the yes-side/the no-side/the winning side]]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	the yes-side	1343	31.7%
1	the no-side	1422	33.6%
2	the winning side	1466	34.6%
Sysmiss		90	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

<b># r01rpse3_treatment3: [Background variable for r01rpse3. Randomly selects [51/55/70]]</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4231 /-] [Invalid=90 /-]	
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>		[Background variable for r01rpse3. Randomly selects [51/55/70]]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	51	1413	33.4%
1	55	1436	33.9%
2	70	1382	32.7%
Sysmiss		90	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># r01rpse3: Experiment: Should the government act according to the result of the EU-referendum, even if the majority in Parliament disagrees?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Pre-question</b>		We are interested in examining what mandate the government needs to make important decisions on behalf of the people. As of today there is little indication that there would be a third referendum on Norwegian EU membership. We would nonetheless like you to imagine that an advisory referendum was held on the issue.	
<b>Literal question</b>		Say that [35/47/53/85] per cent of the population participated and [the yes-side/the no-side/the winning side] received [51/55/70] of the votes.  In such a case, should the government act according to the result of the referendum, even if the majority in Parliament disagrees?	
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>		[Experiment. See background variables]  Responsible researcher: Troy Saghaug Broderstad  Question asked in wave 9 of the Citizel Panel: "eips2017e"	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	2739	63.4%
2	No	1415	32.7%
97	Not answered	167	3.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># r01per12: Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to reducing income inequality in society?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Pre-question</b>		There are various ways to include people's preferences into politics. The following three questions deal with your opinion on the extent to which the previous government (2013-2017) has either governed according to its political platform, or followed changes in public opinion.	
<b>Literal question</b>		Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to reducing income inequality in society?	
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>		Responsible researcher: Andrea Fumarola	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Only public opinion	11	0.3%
2	Mostly public opinion	95	2.2%
3	Both public opinion and the political platform, equally	503	11.6%
4	Mostly the political platform	1508	34.9%
5	Only the political platform	601	13.9%
6	The government has not followed either of these	1106	25.6%

**# r01per12: Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to reducing income inequality in society?**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	The government has followed both, and they are the same	186	4.3%
97	Not answered	311	7.2%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# r01per13: Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to refugees' access to stay in Norway?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	There are various ways to include people's preferences into politics. The following three questions deal with your opinion on the extent to which the previous government (2013-2017) has either governed according to its political platform, or followed changes in public opinion.
<b>Literal question</b>	Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to refugees' access to stay in Norway?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Andrea Fumarola

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only public opinion	21	0.5%
2	Mostly public opinion	263	6.1%
3	Both public opinion and the political platform, equally	737	17.1%
4	Mostly the political platform	1801	41.7%
5	Only the political platform	645	14.9%
6	The government has not followed either of these	353	8.2%
7	The government has followed both, and they are the same	259	6.0%
97	Not answered	242	5.6%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# r01per14: Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to whether all new passenger cars should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar by the year 2025?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	There are various ways to include people's preferences into politics. The following three questions deal with your opinion on the extent to which the previous government (2013-2017) has either governed according to its political platform, or followed changes in public opinion.
<b>Literal question</b>	Has the government followed the political platform or public opinion, when it comes to whether all new passenger cars should be electric, hydrogen-powered or similar by the year 2025?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researcher: Andrea Fumarola

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only public opinion	22	0.5%
2	Mostly public opinion	252	5.8%
3	Both public opinion and the political platform, equally	739	17.1%
4	Mostly the political platform	1636	37.9%
5	Only the political platform	547	12.7%
6	The government has not followed either of these	657	15.2%
7	The government has followed both, and they are the same	136	3.1%
97	Not answered	332	7.7%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*



**# r01per32: In the national parliamentary elections, do you believe that voters mostly vote on the basis of the political plans for the future or rather on the basis of previous actions?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	In the national parliamentary elections, do you believe that voters mostly vote on the basis of the political plans for the future or rather on the basis of previous actions?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researchers: Andrea Fumarola/Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 - On the basis of previous actions	445	10.3%
2	2	634	14.7%
3	3	956	22.1%
4	4	1042	24.1%
5	5	698	16.2%
6	6	263	6.1%
7	7 - On the basis of future political plans	127	2.9%
97	Not answered	156	3.6%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# r01per16\_1: What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe think that wealth differences in society should be reduced?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 48-65] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3959 /-] [Invalid=362 /-] [Mean=63.001 /-] [StdDev=26.555 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe think that wealth differences in society should be reduced?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researchers: Andrea Fumarola/Yvette Peters

**# r01per16\_excl: My party does not run in the national election: What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe think that wealth differences in society should be reduced?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	Hvor stor andel av de som stemmer på ditt parti ved Stortingsvalg, tror du ønsker å redusere formueforskjeller i samfunnet?
<b>Literal question</b>	My party does not run in the national election
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researchers: Andrea Fumarola/Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	4035	93.4%
1	My party does not run in the national election	154	3.6%
97		132	3.1%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# r01per17\_1: What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe support oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 47-47] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3787 /-] [Invalid=534 /-] [Mean=47.536 /-] [StdDev=26.815 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe support oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researchers: Andrea Fumarola/Yvette Peters

**# r01per17\_excl: My party does not run in the national election: What percentage of those who voted for your party in the national parliamentary elections do you believe support oil and gas extraction in the Lofoten, Vesterålen and Senja areas**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	Hvor stor andel av de som stemmer på ditt parti ved Stortingsvalg, tror du støtter olje- og gassutvinning i området ved Lofoten, Vesterålen og Senja?
<b>Literal question</b>	My party does not run in the national election
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Responsible researchers: Andrea Fumarola/Yvette Peters

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not selected	4017	93.0%
1	My party does not run in the national election	171	4.0%
97		133	3.1%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# r01per34: What is your highest completed education?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Literal question</b>	What is your highest completed education?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Question asked in wave 11 of the Citizel Panel: "r11bk26"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No completed education	5	0.1%
2	Primary and lower secondary school (completed either the first part of compulsory education (elementary school), primary and lower secondary school, 7-year elementary school, secondary modern school or lower secondary school)	130	3.0%
3	Secondary education-general studies/programme for general studies, one-year supplementary study for university and college admission certification (VK2, VG3, High School)	401	9.3%
4	Secondary education-vocational line of study/education programme (VK2, VK3, VG3, apprenticeship examination, apprentices' final exam )	496	11.5%
5	Diploma from supplementary programme for general university and college admissions certification (tertiary vocational education, vocational technical college)	224	5.2%
6	University/College, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ years)	512	11.8%
7	College-3-4 year education (Bachelor-, cand.mag., teacher training college, school of nursing, preschool teacher, engineer, business graduate, etc.)	904	20.9%
8	University-3-4 year education (Bachelor, cand.mag.)	366	8.5%
9	College-5-6 year education (Master, major)	444	10.3%
10	University 5-6 year education (Master, majors (longer professional education (MA in Theology., MA in Psychology., Doctor of Medicine, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, chartered engineer, graduate in architecture, Master of Science in Business and Economic	568	13.1%
11	Researcher level (Doctorate, Ph.d.)	54	1.2%
12	None of the above (please enter):	68	1.6%
97	Not answered	149	3.4%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# r01per34\_12\_other: None of the above: What is your highest completed education? [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=0 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Pre-question</b>	Hva er din høyest fullførte utdanning?
<b>Literal question</b>	None of the above
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

**# r01per37: What year were you born?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
97	Ikke svart		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

**# r01per39: If you have any comments or suggestions regarding the survey, you can express them here [Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
<b>Literal question</b>	If you have any comments or suggestions regarding the survey, you can express them here.
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Data withheld for the sake of anonymity]

**# r01P1: Gender**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Male	2734	63.3%
2	Female	1587	36.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

**# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
101	Halden		
104	Moss		
105	Sarpsborg		
106	Fredrikstad		
111	Hvaler		
118	Aremark		
119	Marker		
121	Rømskog		
122	Trøgstad		
123	Spydeberg		
124	Askim		
125	Eidsberg		
127	Skiptvet		
128	Rakkestad		
135	Råde		
136	Rygge		
137	Våler		

**# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
138	Hobøl		
211	Vestby		
213	Ski		
214	Ås		
215	Frogn		
216	Nesodden		
217	Oppegård		
219	Bærum		
220	Asker		
221	Aurskog-Høland		
226	Sørum		
227	Fet		
228	Rælingen		
229	Enebakk		
230	Lørenskog		
231	Skedsmo		
233	Nittedal		
234	Gjerdrum		
235	Ullensaker		
236	Nes		
237	Eidsvoll		
238	Nannestad		
239	Hurdal		
301	Oslo		
402	Kongsvinger		
403	Hamar		
412	Ringsaker		
415	Løten		
417	Stange		
418	Nord-Odal		
419	Sør-Odal		
420	Eidskog		
423	Grue		
425	Åsnes		
426	Våler		
427	Elverum		
428	Trysil		
429	Åmot		
430	Stor-Elvdal		
432	Rendalen		
434	Engerdal		
436	Tolga		
437	Tynset		
438	Alvdal		
439	Folldal		

**# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
441	Os		
501	Lillehammer		
502	Gjøvik		
511	Dovre		
512	Lesja		
513	Skjåk		
514	Lom		
515	Vågå		
516	Nord-Fron		
517	Sel		
519	Sør-Fron		
520	Ringebu		
521	Øyer		
522	Gausdal		
528	Østre Toten		
529	Vestre Toten		
532	Jevnaker		
533	Lunner		
534	Gran		
536	Søndre Land		
538	Nordre Land		
540	Sør-Aurdal		
541	Etnedal		
542	Nord-Aurdal		
543	Vestre Slidre		
544	Øystre Slidre		
545	Vang		
602	Drammen		
604	Kongsberg		
605	Ringerike		
612	Hole		
615	Flå		
616	Nes		
617	Gol		
618	Hemsedal		
619	Ål		
620	Hol		
621	Sigdal		
622	Krødsherad		
623	Modum		
624	Øvre Eiker		
625	Nedre Eiker		
626	Lier		
627	Røyken		
628	Hurum		

**# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
631	Flesberg		
632	Rollag		
633	Nore og Uvdal		
701	Horten		
704	Tønsberg		
710	Sandefjord		
711	Svelvik		
712	Larvik		
713	Sande		
715	Holmestrand		
716	Re		
729	Færder		
805	Porsgrunn		
806	Skien		
807	Notodden		
811	Siljan		
814	Bamble		
815	Kragerø		
817	Drangedal		
819	Nome		
821	Bø		
822	Sauherad		
826	Tinn		
827	Hjartdal		
828	Seljord		
829	Kviteseid		
830	Nissedal		
831	Fyresdal		
833	Tokke		
834	Vinje		
901	Risør		
904	Grimstad		
906	Arendal		
911	Gjerstad		
912	Vegårshei		
914	Tvedestrand		
919	Froland		
926	Lillesand		
928	Birkenes		
929	Åmli		
935	Iveland		
937	Evje og Hornnes		
938	Bygland		
940	Valle		
941	Bykle		

**# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1001	Kristiansand		
1002	Mandal		
1003	Farsund		
1004	Flekkefjord		
1014	Vennesla		
1017	Songdalen		
1018	Søgne		
1021	Marnardal		
1026	Åseral		
1027	Audnedal		
1029	Lindesnes		
1032	Lyngdal		
1034	Hægebostad		
1037	Kvinesdal		
1046	Sirdal		
1101	Eigersund		
1102	Sandnes		
1103	Stavanger		
1106	Haugesund		
1111	Sokndal		
1112	Lund		
1114	Bjerkreim		
1119	Hå		
1120	Klepp		
1121	Time		
1122	Gjesdal		
1124	Sola		
1127	Randaberg		
1129	Forsand		
1130	Strand		
1133	Hjelmeland		
1134	Suldal		
1135	Sauda		
1141	Finnøy		
1142	Rennesøy		
1144	Kvitsøy		
1145	Bokn		
1146	Tysvær		
1149	Karmøy		
1151	Utsira		
1160	Vindafjord		
1201	Bergen		
1211	Etne		
1216	Sveio		
1219	Bømlo		

**# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected**

<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1221	Stord		
1222	Fitjar		
1223	Tysnes		
1224	Kvinnherad		
1227	Jondal		
1228	Odda		
1231	Ullensvang		
1232	Eidfjord		
1233	Ulvik		
1234	Granvin		
1235	Voss		
1238	Kvam		
1241	Fusa		
1242	Samnanger		
1243	Os		
1244	Austevoll		
1245	Sund		
1246	Fjell		
1247	Askøy		
1251	Vaksdal		
1252	Modalen		
1253	Osterøy		
1256	Meland		
1259	Øygarden		
1260	Radøy		
1263	Lindås		
1264	Austrheim		
1265	Fedje		
1266	Masfjorden		
1401	Flora		
1411	Gulen		
1412	Solund		
1413	Hyllestad		
1416	Høyanger		
1417	Vik		
1418	Balestrand		
1419	Leikanger		
1420	Sogndal		
1421	Aurland		
1422	Lærdal		
1424	Årdal		
1426	Luster		
1428	Askvoll		
1429	Fjaler		
1430	Gaular		



**# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1431	Jølster		
1432	Førde		
1433	Naustdal		
1438	Bremanger		
1439	Vågsøy		
1441	Selje		
1443	Eid		
1444	Hornindal		
1445	Gloppen		
1449	Stryn		
1502	Molde		
1504	Ålesund		
1505	Kristiansund		
1511	Vanylven		
1514	Sande		
1515	Herøy		
1516	Ulstein		
1517	Hareid		
1519	Volda		
1520	Ørsta		
1523	Ørskog		
1524	Norddal		
1525	Stranda		
1526	Stordal		
1528	Sykkylven		
1529	Skodje		
1531	Sula		
1532	Giske		
1534	Haram		
1535	Vestnes		
1539	Rauma		
1543	Nesset		
1545	Midsund		
1546	Sandøy		
1547	Aukra		
1548	Fræna		
1551	Eide		
1554	Averøy		
1557	Gjemnes		
1560	Tingvoll		
1563	Sunnadal		
1566	Surnadal		
1567	Rindal		
1571	Halsa		
1573	Smøla		

**# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1576	Aure		
1804	Bodø		
1805	Narvik		
1811	Bindal		
1812	Sømna		
1813	Brønnøy		
1815	Vega		
1816	Vevelstad		
1818	Herøy		
1820	Alstahaug		
1822	Leirfjord		
1824	Vefsn		
1825	Grane		
1826	Hattfjelldal		
1827	Dønna		
1828	Nesna		
1832	Hemnes		
1833	Rana		
1834	Lurøy		
1835	Træna		
1836	Rødøy		
1837	Meløy		
1838	Gildeskål		
1839	Beiarn		
1840	Saltdal		
1841	Fauske - Fuosko		
1845	Sørfold		
1848	Steigen		
1849	Hamarøy - Hábmer		
1850	Divtasvuodna - Tysfjord		
1851	Lødingen		
1852	Tjeldsund		
1853	Evenes		
1854	Ballangen		
1856	Røst		
1857	Værøy		
1859	Flakstad		
1860	Vestvågøy		
1865	Vågan		
1866	Hadsel		
1867	Bø		
1868	Øksnes		
1870	Sortland - Suortá		
1871	Andøy		
1874	Moskenes		

# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1902	Tromsø		
1903	Harstad - Hárstták		
1911	Kvæfjord		
1913	Skånland		
1917	Ibestad		
1919	Gratangen		
1920	Loabák - Lavangen		
1922	Bardu		
1923	Salangen		
1924	Målselv		
1925	Sørreisa		
1926	Dyrøy		
1927	Tranøy		
1928	Torsken		
1929	Berg		
1931	Lenvik		
1933	Balsfjord		
1936	Karlsøy		
1938	Lyngen		
1939	Storfjord - Omasvuotna - Omasvuono		
1940	Gáivuotna - Kåffjord - Kaivuono		
1941	Skjervøy		
1942	Nordreisa		
1943	Kvænangen		
2002	Vardø		
2003	Vadsø		
2004	Hammerfest		
2011	Guovdageaidnu - Kautokeino		
2012	Alta		
2014	Loppa		
2015	Hasvik		
2017	Kvalsund		
2018	Måsøy		
2019	Nordkapp		
2020	Porsanger - Porsángu - Porsanki		
2021	Kará?johka - Karasjok		
2022	Lebesby		
2023	Gamvik		
2024	Berlevåg		
2025	Deatnu - Tana		
2027	Unjárga - Nesseby		
2028	Båtsfjord		
2030	Sør-Varanger		
2111	Longyearbyen		
5001	Trondheim		

**# r01P2\_1: Municipality where the representative is elected**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5004	Steinkjer		
5005	Namsos		
5011	Hemne		
5012	Snillfjord		
5013	Hitra		
5014	Frøya		
5015	Ørland		
5016	Agdenes		
5017	Bjugn		
5018	Åfjord		
5019	Roan		
5020	Osen		
5021	Oppdal		
5022	Rennebu		
5023	Meldal		
5024	Orkdal		
5025	Røros		
5026	Holtålen		
5027	Midtre Gauldal		
5028	Melhus		
5029	Skaun		
5030	Klæbu		
5031	Malvik		
5032	Selbu		
5033	Tydal		
5034	Meråker		
5035	Stjørdal		
5036	Frosta		
5037	Levanger		
5038	Verdal		
5039	Verran		
5040	Namdalseid		
5041	Snåase - Snåsa		
5042	Lierne		
5043	Raarvihke - Røyrvik		
5044	Namsskogan		
5045	Grong		
5046	Høylandet		
5047	Overhalla		
5048	Fosnes		
5049	Flatanger		
5050	Vikna		
5051	Nærøy		
5052	Leka		
5053	Inderøy		

<b># r01P2_1: Municipality where the representative is elected</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
5054	Indre Fosen		
9999	Uoppgitt		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># r01P2_2: County where the representative is elected</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Representatives from the Sami Parliament is defines as 99, not relevant]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Akershus	372	8.6%
2	Oslo	28	0.6%
3	Østfold	206	4.8%
4	Vestfold	143	3.3%
5	Hedmark	219	5.1%
6	Oppland	213	4.9%
7	Buskerud	271	6.3%
8	Telemark	191	4.4%
9	Vest-Agder	176	4.1%
10	Aust-Agder	140	3.2%
11	Rogaland	307	7.1%
12	Hordaland	398	9.2%
13	Sogn og Fjordane	223	5.2%
14	Møre og Romsdal	367	8.5%
15	Trøndelag	429	9.9%
16	Nordland	317	7.3%
17	Troms	176	4.1%
18	Finnmark	123	2.8%
19	Svalbard	8	0.2%
99	Not relevant	14	0.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># r01P2_3: Region where the representative is elected</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	[Representatives from the Sami Parliament is defines as 99, not relevant]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Oslo/Akershus	400	9.3%
2	Østlandet	1243	28.8%
3	Sørlandet	316	7.3%
4	Vestlandet	1295	30.0%
5	Trøndelag	429	9.9%
6	Nord-Norge	624	14.4%
99	Not relevant	14	0.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

<b># r01P3: Administrative level to which the representative is elected</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Municipality	4019	93.0%
2	County	229	5.3%
3	Parliament	59	1.4%
4	The Sami Parliament	14	0.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># r01P4_1: Highest level of completed education</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No education/elementary school	135	3.1%
2	Upper secondary education	1121	25.9%
3	University/University college	2848	65.9%
97	Not answered	217	5.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># r01P4_2: Highest level of completed education</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	No completed education	5	0.1%
2	Primary and lower secondary school (completed either the first part of compulsory education (elementary school), primary and lower secondary school, 7-year elementary school, secondary modern school or lower secondary school)	130	3.0%
3	Secondary education-general studies/programme for general studies, one-year supplementary study for university and college admission certification (VK2, VG3, High School)	401	9.3%
4	Secondary education-vocational line of study/education programme (VK2, VK3, VG3, apprenticeship examination, apprentices' final exam )	496	11.5%
5	Diploma from supplementary programme for general university and college admissions certification (tertiary vocational education, vocational technical college)	224	5.2%
6	University/College, less than 3 years, but at least 2 years (university college graduate 2 and 2½ years)	512	11.8%
7	College-3-4 year education (Bachelor-, cand.mag., teacher training college, school of nursing, preschool teacher, engineer, business graduate, etc.)	904	20.9%
8	University-3-4 year education (Bachelor, cand.mag.)	366	8.5%
9	College-5-6 year education (Master, major)	444	10.3%
10	University 5-6 year education (Master, majors (longer professional education (MA in Theology., MA in Psychology., Doctor of Medicine, Doctor of Veterinary Medicine, chartered engineer, graduate in architecture, Master of Science in Business and Economic	568	13.1%
11	Researcher level (Doctorate, Ph.d.)	54	1.2%
12	None of the above (please enter):	68	1.6%

<b># r01P4_2: Highest level of completed education</b>			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
97	Not answered	149	3.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># r01P5_1: Year of birth</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	1939 or sooner	27	0.6%
2	1940 - 1949	471	10.9%
3	1950 - 1959	1029	23.8%
4	1960 - 1969	1258	29.1%
5	1970 - 1979	854	19.8%
6	1980 - 1989	332	7.7%
7	1990 or later	182	4.2%
97	Not answered	168	3.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># r01P5_2: Year of birth</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4321 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	1959 or earlier	1527	35.3%
2	1960 - 1989	2444	56.6%
3	1990 or later	182	4.2%
97	Not answered	168	3.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			