# More Constructions of Differentially 4-Uniform Permutations on $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}$

#### Yin Tan

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Waterloo, ON, Canada

yin.tan@uwaterloo.ca

(Joint work with L. Qu, C. Li and G. Gong)

September 3, 2014

# <u>Outline</u>



#### Motivation and Definitions

- Motivations
- Definitions

#### 2 Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations

- Power functions
- Construction from the switching method
- 3 Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method
- 4 Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions Motivations Definitions

# Requirements for a substitution box

Assuming F is the Substitution box chosen by a block cipher with SPN structure. To avoid various attacks, F should satisfy the following conditions:

- Low differential uniformity (to avoid differential attack);
- High nonlinearity (to aviod linear attack);
- High algebraic degree (to avoid higher order differential attack);
- Defined on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}$  (for software implementation);
- Others.

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions Motivations Definitions

# Differential uniformity

Let F be a function over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . We have the following two different common methods to characterize its nonlinearity. For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , define

$$\delta_F(a,b) = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}|F(x+a) + F(x) = b\}|, \text{ and}$$
  
 $\Delta_F = \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \delta_F(a,b).$ 

To prevent the differential attack, we want the value  $\Delta_F$  to be as small as possible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>PN functions do not exist in the field with even characteristic.

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions Motivations Definitions

# Differential uniformity

Let F be a function over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . We have the following two different common methods to characterize its nonlinearity. For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , define

$$\delta_F(a,b) = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}|F(x+a) + F(x) = b\}|, \text{ and}$$
  
 $\Delta_F = \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \delta_F(a,b).$ 

To prevent the differential attack, we want the value  $\Delta_F$  to be as small as possible.

• If 
$$\Delta_F = 1$$
, F is called perfect nonlinear function (PN); <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>PN functions do not exist in the field with even characteristic.

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions Motivations Definitions

# Differential uniformity

Let F be a function over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . We have the following two different common methods to characterize its nonlinearity. For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , define

$$\delta_F(a,b) = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}|F(x+a) + F(x) = b\}|, \text{ and}$$
  
 $\Delta_F = \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \delta_F(a,b).$ 

To prevent the differential attack, we want the value  $\Delta_F$  to be as small as possible.

- If  $\Delta_F = 1$ , F is called *perfect nonlinear function* (PN); <sup>1</sup>
- If  $\Delta_F = 2$ , F is called *almost perfect nonlinear function* (APN);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>PN functions do not exist in the field with even characteristic.

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions Motivations Definitions

# Differential uniformity

Let F be a function over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . We have the following two different common methods to characterize its nonlinearity. For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , define

$$\delta_F(a,b) = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}|F(x+a) + F(x) = b\}|, \text{ and}$$
  
 $\Delta_F = \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \delta_F(a,b).$ 

To prevent the differential attack, we want the value  $\Delta_{F}$  to be as small as possible.

- If  $\Delta_F = 1$ , F is called *perfect nonlinear function* (PN); <sup>1</sup>
- If  $\Delta_F = 2$ , F is called *almost perfect nonlinear function* (APN);
- If  $\Delta_F = 4$ , F is called *differentially* 4-*uniform function*.

<sup>1</sup>PN functions do not exist in the field with even characteristic.

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Motivations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions

# Nonlinearity

(2) For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , define

$$\mathcal{W}_{F}(a, b) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}(aF(x)+bx)},$$
$$\mathcal{W}_{F} = \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} |\mathcal{W}_{F}(a, b)|,$$
$$\mathsf{NL}_{F} = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{F}.$$

To be resistnt to the linear attack, we want the value  $NL_F$  to be as large as possible.

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions Motivations Definitions

### Nonlinearity

(2) For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , define

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}_{F}(a,b) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} (-1)^{\operatorname{Tr}(aF(x)+bx)}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{F} &= \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}^{*}, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}} |\mathcal{W}_{F}(a,b)|, \\ \mathsf{NL}_{F} &= 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}_{F}. \end{split}$$

To be resistnt to the linear attack, we want the value  $NL_F$  to be as large as possible.

• When *n* is even,  $W_F \leq 2^{n/2+1}$ ;

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions

# Nonlinearity

(2) For any  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , define

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{W}_F(a,b) &=& \displaystyle\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{2^n}}(-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(aF(x)+bx)}, \ \mathcal{W}_F &=& \displaystyle\max_{a\in\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*,b\in\mathbb{F}_{2^n}}|\mathcal{W}_F(a,b)|, \ \mathrm{NL}_F &=& \displaystyle2^{n-1}-rac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}_F. \end{array}$$

Motivations

Definitions

To be resistnt to the linear attack, we want the value  $NL_F$  to be as large as possible.

- When *n* is even,  $W_F \leq 2^{n/2+1}$ ;
- When *n* is odd, it is conjectured that  $W_F \leq 2^{(n+1)/2}$ ;
- The function F is called maximal nonlinear if W<sub>F</sub> = 2<sup>n/2+1</sup> when n is even, or W<sub>F</sub> = 2<sup>(n+1)/2</sup> when n is odd.

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions Motivations Definitions

# EA-equivalence and CCZ-equivalence

- (1) The differential uniformity and nonlinearity of a function *F* is preserved by EA-equivalence and CCZ-equivalence;
- (2) CCZ-equivalence implies EA-equivalence, but not vice versa;
- (3) Therefore, obtaining an ideal Sbox can lead to a large class of ideal Sboxes.
- (4) However, given two functions *F* and *G*, it is difficult to tell whether they are CCZ-equivalent (if differential and linear spectrum are the same).

Construction of differentially 4-uniform permutations Number of CCZ-inequivalent PPs via the switching method Non-decomposable preferred Boolean functions Motivations Definitions

# EA-equivalence and CCZ-equivalence

#### Definition 1

Two function  $F, G : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  are called *extended affine equivalent* (EA) if there exist two affine permutations  $A_1, A_2$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  and an affine function  $A : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  such that

$$G=A_1\circ F\circ A_2+A,$$

where  $\circ$  denotes the composition of two functions.

For a function  $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{G}_F$  the graph of the function of F

$$\mathcal{G}_f = \{(x, F(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\} \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{2^n}.$$

We say two functions  $F, G : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  *CCZ-equivalence* if there exists an affine permutation  $A : \mathbb{F}_{2^{2n}} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^{2n}}$  such that  $A(\mathcal{G}_F) = \mathcal{G}_G$ .

Power functions Construction from the switching method

### The power functions

It is natural to search for ideal Sboxes from power functions.

Table : Known differentially 4-uniform permutations on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}$  with maximal nonlinearity

Functions	Exponents d	Degree	Conditions
Gold	$x^{2^{i}+1}$	2	gcd(i, n) = 2, n = 2t, t odd
Kasami	$x^{2^{2i}-2^i+1}$	i + 1	gcd(i, n) = 2, n = 2t, t odd
Inverse	$x^{2^{2t}-1}$	2t - 1	n = 2t
Dobbertin	$x^{2^{2t}+2^t+1}$	3	n = 4t, t  odd

It is conjectured the above table is complete, i.e. all power permutations with maximal nonlinearity are one of the four families.

Power functions Construction from the switching method

# **Binomial function**

#### Theorem 2 (Bracken, T. and Tan, 2012)

Let n = 3k and k is an even integer with  $3 \nmid k, k/2$  is odd. Let s be an integer with gcd(3k, s) = 2 and  $3 \mid k + s$ . Define the function  $F : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  $F(x) = \alpha x^{2^s+1} + \alpha^{2^k} x^{2^{-k}+2^{k+s}},$ 

where  $\alpha$  is a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Then F is a differentially 4-uniform permutation with maximal nonlinearity.

Note that when gcd(3k, s) = 1, the function F is APN which is discovered by Budaghyan, Carlet and Leander.

Power functions Construction from the switching method

# Switching method

If we do not requre maximal nonlinearity but "good" nonlinearity, much more infinite classes of differentially 4-uniform permutations can be obtained. A powerful tool is the so-called *switching method*, i.e. adding a Boolean function to F.

Switching method has been previously applied on:

- APN functions: a well-known example x<sup>3</sup> + Tr(x<sup>9</sup>) (B-C-L); Many new APN examples from switching method in E-P's paper;
- (2). planar function: certain CCZ-inequivalent PN functions are switching neighbors, in P-Z's paper.
- (3). permutation polynomial: many PPs with the form  $F(x) + \gamma \text{Tr}(H(x))$  are obtained in C-K's papers.

Power functions Construction from the switching method

# Switching method

If we do not requre maximal nonlinearity but "good" nonlinearity, much more infinite classes of differentially 4-uniform permutations can be obtained. A powerful tool is the so-called *switching method*, i.e. adding a Boolean function to F.

Switching method has been previously applied on:

- APN functions: a well-known example x<sup>3</sup> + Tr(x<sup>9</sup>) (B-C-L); Many new APN examples from switching method in E-P's paper;
- (2). planar function: certain CCZ-inequivalent PN functions are switching neighbors, in P-Z's paper.
- (3). permutation polynomial: many PPs with the form  $F(x) + \gamma \text{Tr}(H(x))$  are obtained in C-K's papers.

In the following we apply the switching method on constructing differentially 4-uniform permutations on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}.$ 

Power functions Construction from the switching method

### Preferred functions

Let n = 2k be an even integer and R be an (n, n)-function. Define the Boolean function  $D_R$  by  $D_R(x) = \text{Tr}(R(x+1) + R(x))$ , and the functions  $Q_R, P_R$  as

$$Q_R(x,y) = D_R\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + D_R\left(\frac{1}{x} + y\right), P_R(y) = Q_R(0,y) = D_R(0) + D_R(y).$$

Let U be the subset of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  defined by  $U = \{(x, y) | x^2 + \frac{1}{y}x + \frac{1}{y(y+1)} = 0, y \notin \mathbb{F}_2\}.$  If

$$Q_R(x,y)+P_R(y)=0$$

satisfies for any elements in  $(x, y) \in U$ , then we call R a preferred function (PF), or said to be preferred.

Power functions Construction from the switching method

# Properties of PFs

#### Proposition 1

Let S be a set of PFs defined on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Then the set S defined by

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \sum_{f \in S} a_f f : a_f \in \mathbb{F}_2 \right\}$$

is a subspace of  $(\mathcal{VF}^n, +)$ .

Power functions Construction from the switching method

# Properties of PFs

#### Proposition 1

Let S be a set of PFs defined on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Then the set S defined by

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \sum_{f \in S} \mathsf{a}_f f : \mathsf{a}_f \in \mathbb{F}_2 \right\}$$

is a subspace of  $(\mathcal{VF}^n, +)$ .

If we can find t PFs, we then obtain  $2^t$  PFs.

Power functions Construction from the switching method

### Why we consider preferred functions?

#### Theorem 3

Let n = 2k be an even integer,  $I(x) = x^{-1}$  be the inverse function and R be an (n, n)-function. Define

$$H(x) = x + Tr(R(x) + R(x + 1)),$$
 and  
 $G(x) = H(I(x)).$ 

Then if R(x) is a preferred function,

(1.) G(x) is a differentially 4-uniform permutation polynomial;

(2.) The algebraic degree of G is n - 1;

(3.) The nonlinearity of F

$$NL_F \geq 2^{n-2} - \frac{1}{4} \lfloor 2^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \rfloor - 1.$$

Power functions Construction from the switching method

# Examples of preferred functions

#### Example 4

Let  $R(x) = x^d : \mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}$  and  $F(x) = x + \operatorname{Tr}(R(x+1) + R(x))$ , where

(1) 
$$n = 2k = 4m, d = 2^{2m} + 2^m + 1,$$
  
(2)  $d = 2^t + 1,$  where  $1 \le t \le k - 1,$   
(3)  $d = 3(2^t + 1),$  where  $2 \le t \le k - 1.$ 

Power functions Construction from the switching method

# Examples of preferred functions

#### Example 4

Let  $R(x) = x^d : \mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}$  and  $F(x) = x + \operatorname{Tr}(R(x+1) + R(x))$ , where

(1) 
$$n = 2k = 4m, d = 2^{2m} + 2^m + 1,$$
  
(2)  $d = 2^t + 1,$  where  $1 \le t \le k - 1,$   
(3)  $d = 3(2^t + 1),$  where  $2 \le t \le k - 1.$ 

Therefore, the function  $F(x^{-1})$  is differentially 4-uniform permutations. Many PFs can be found in [Qu, T., Tan, Li, IEEE IT (2013)].

# Preferred Boolean functions

Since we obtain a lot of new differentially 4-uniform permutations, it is inter:esting to consider

Problem 5

Let n = 2k and  $\mathcal{PF}$  be the set of all PFs on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ . Define

$$S_n = \{H(x^{-1}) \mid H(x) = x + \operatorname{Tr}(R(x+1) + R(x)), R \in \mathcal{PF}\}.$$

How many CCZ-inequivalent classes of differentially 4-uniform permutations among  $S_n$ ?

# Preferred Boolean functions

#### Definition 6

Let n = 2k be an even integer and f be an *n*-variable Boolean function. We call f a *preferred Boolean function* (PBF for short) if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) 
$$f(x+1) = f(x)$$
 for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ ;  
(ii)  $f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{x} + y\right) + f(0) + f(y) = 0$  for any pair  $(x, y) \in U$ , where  $U$  is the same set when define PFs.

# Properties of preferred Boolean functions

#### Proposition 2

 $R : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is a PF if and only if  $D_R(x) = \operatorname{Tr}(R(x) + R(x+1))$  is a PBF. Furthermore, for any PBF f with n variables, there are  $2^{n \cdot 2^n - 2^{n-1}}$  preferred functions R such that  $D_R(x) = f(x)$ .

# Properties of preferred Boolean functions

#### Proposition 2

 $R : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is a PF if and only if  $D_R(x) = \operatorname{Tr}(R(x) + R(x+1))$  is a PBF. Furthermore, for any PBF f with n variables, there are  $2^{n \cdot 2^n - 2^{n-1}}$  preferred functions R such that  $D_R(x) = f(x)$ .

#### **Proposition 3**

Let  $\omega$  be an element of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  with order 3. Then f is a PBF if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) 
$$f(x+1) = f(x)$$
 for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ ;  
(ii)  $f(\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}) + f(\omega \alpha + \frac{1}{\omega \alpha}) + f(\omega^2 \alpha + \frac{1}{\omega^2 \alpha}) = 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4$ .

### Determine all preferred Boolean functions

Define the following two sets:

$$\begin{split} L_1 &= \left\{ \{x, x+1\} : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2 \right\}, \\ L_2 &= \left\{ \{\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}, \omega \alpha + \frac{1}{\omega \alpha}, \omega^2 \alpha + \frac{1}{\omega^2 \alpha} \} : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_4 \right\}. \end{split}$$

Let  $v_x$  and  $v_\alpha$  be the characteristic function in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$  of each  $\{x, x+1\} \in L_1$  and  $\left\{\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}, \omega\alpha + \frac{1}{\omega\alpha}, \omega^2\alpha + \frac{1}{\omega^2\alpha}\right\} \in L_2$ , respectively. Define the  $(|L_1| + |L_2|) \times (2^n - 2)$  matrix M by

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} & v_{\rm x} \\ & v_{\alpha} \end{bmatrix},\tag{1}$$

where the columns and rows of M are indexed by the elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ and  $L_1 \cup L_2$  respectively. Then the dimension of  $\mathcal{PBF}$  is  $2^n - 1 - \operatorname{rank}(M)$ , and the dimension of  $\mathcal{PF}$  is  $n \cdot 2^n + 2^{n-1} - 1 - \operatorname{rank}(M)$ .

# Determine all preferred Boolean functions

#### Problem 7

Is the rank of the matrix M above  $\frac{2^{n+1}-5}{3}$ ? We have verified this true for n = 6, 8, 10, 12, 14.

# Determine all preferred Boolean functions

#### Problem 7

Is the rank of the matrix M above  $\frac{2^{n+1}-5}{3}$ ? We have verified this true for n = 6, 8, 10, 12, 14.

#### Lemma 8

We have

(1) 
$$\operatorname{rank}(M) \leq \min\{|L_1| + |L_2|, 2^n - 2\} = \min\{\frac{2^{n+1}-5}{3}, 2^n - 2\} = \frac{2^{n+1}-5}{3}.$$

(2) For each (n,n)-function F, there are at most  $(2^n)^{4n+2} = 2^{4n^2+2n}$  functions which are CCZ-equivalent to it.

# Lower bound on the CCZ-inequivalent number of PPs

#### Theorem 9

There are at least  $2^{\frac{2^n+2}{3}-4n^2-2n}$  CCZ-inequivalent differentially 4-uniform permutations over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  among all the functions constructed by Theorem 3.

# Lower bound on the CCZ-inequivalent number of PPs

#### Theorem 9

There are at least  $2^{\frac{2^n+2}{3}-4n^2-2n}$  CCZ-inequivalent differentially 4-uniform permutations over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  among all the functions constructed by Theorem 3.

#### Remarks:

- (1.) The number of differentially 4-uniform permutations on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}$  with highest algebraic degree and nonlinearity greater than the one in Theorem 3 grows exponentially when *n* increase;
- (2.) A similar question is raised by Edel and Pott on the number of CCZ-inequivalent APN functions, which is still open now.

#### Some statistics

Table : Nonlinearity of the differentially 4-uniform permutations constructed by Theorem 3 on  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  when  $6 \le n \le 10$  (*n* even)

n	Sample size	Ave(NL)	Var(NL)	Dist(NL)	Bound in Theorem 3	KMNL
6	10,000	18.4022	1.2034	$\frac{14^{48}, 16^{849}, 18^{6161}}{20^{2928}, 22^{14}}$	14	24
8	10,000	94.2740	2.2576	$\begin{array}{r} 82^{10}, 84^{30}, 86^{30}, 88^{150} \\ 90^{540}, 92^{1620}, 94^{3450} \\ 96^{3490}, 98^{680} \end{array}$	55	112
10	5,000	434.2524	3.7225	$\begin{array}{c} 418^4, 420^{16}, 422^5, 424^{35}\\ 426^{132}, 428^{263}, 430^{470}\\ 432^{730}, 434^{1053}, 436^{1022}\\ 438^{910}, 440^{315}, 442^{45} \end{array}$	239	480

# What about the case *n* odd?

Does the number of differentially 4-uniform permutations grows exponentially when n increases?

### What about the case *n* odd?

Does the number of differentially 4-uniform permutations grows exponentially when n increases?

Yes. Consider  $G(x) = x^{-1} + f(x)$ , where f is Boolean. It is shown in [T, Qu, Tan, Li, SETA12] that there are  $2^{2^{n-1}} f$  such that G is PP. So there are at least

$$\frac{2^{2^{n-1}}}{2^{4n^2+2n}} = 2^{2^{n-1}-4n^2-2n}$$

CCZ-inequivalent permutations over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  (*n* odd) with differential uniformity at most 4.

### Triple set

- For any  $lpha\in\mathbb{F}_{2^n}\setminus\mathbb{F}_4$ , we call the set

$$A_{\alpha} = \{\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha}, \omega \alpha + \frac{1}{\omega \alpha}, \omega^{2} \alpha + \frac{1}{\omega^{2} \alpha}\}$$

a *triple set* with respect to  $\alpha$  (or TS for short).

- Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two triple sets. They are called *adjacent* if there exist  $a \in A_1$  and  $b \in A_2$  such that a + b = 1. To be more clear, we call  $A_2$  is adjacent to  $A_1$  at a, and call  $A_1$  is adjacent to  $A_2$  at b.
- For any triple set  $A_{\alpha}$ , it has either three or exactly one neighbors. If it has one neighbor, we call it *slim*, otherwise call it *fat*.

# Non-decomposable PBFs

#### Definition 10

Let f be a nonzero PBF. If there exist two PBFs  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  such that  $f = f_1 + f_2$  and  $supp(f_i) \subsetneq supp(f), 1 \le i \le 2$ , then f is called *decomposable*. Otherwise it is called *non-decomposable*.

#### Definition 11

We define the following sets for later usage:

$$T_1 = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} | \operatorname{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) = \operatorname{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{x+1} \right) = 1 \},$$
  

$$T_2 = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} | \operatorname{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) + \operatorname{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{x+1} \right) = 1 \},$$
  

$$T_3 = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} | \operatorname{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) = \operatorname{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{x+1} \right) = 0 \}.$$

24/27

### Characterization of non-decomposable PBFs

#### Theorem 12

Let f be a Boolean function with n variables. Assume that |supp(f)| = 2tand there are  $r (0 \le r \le t)$  TSs  $A_i = \{a_i, b_i, a_i + b_i\}$  such that  $supp(f) \cap A_i = \{a_i, b_i\}$ . Then the following results hold:

- (i) If t = 1, then f is a non-decomposable PBF if and only if r = 0 and there exists β ∈ T<sub>1</sub> such that supp(f) = {β, 1 + β};
- (ii) If t = 2, then f is a non-decomposable PBF if and only if r = 1 and there exists a slim TS  $A = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2\}$  such that  $supp(f) = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, 1 + \beta_1, 1 + \beta_2\}$ , where  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in T_2$ ;

# Characterization of non-decomposable PBFs

#### (cont.)

(iii) If t ≥ 3, then either r = t or r = t - 1. Furthermore,
(a) If r = t, then f is a non-decomposable PBF if and only if there exist fat TSs A<sub>1</sub> = {β<sub>1</sub>, β<sub>2</sub>, β<sub>1</sub> + β<sub>2</sub>}, A<sub>i</sub> = {1 + β<sub>i-1</sub>, β<sub>i+1</sub>, 1 + β<sub>i-1</sub> + β<sub>i+1</sub>}, 2 ≤ i ≤ t - 1, and A<sub>t</sub> = {1 + β<sub>t-1</sub>, 1 + β<sub>t</sub>, β<sub>t-1</sub> + β<sub>t</sub>} such that A<sub>1</sub>, ..., A<sub>t-1</sub> and A<sub>t</sub> form a circle of TSs, and supp(f) = {β<sub>i</sub>, 1 + β<sub>i</sub>|1 ≤ i ≤ t}.
(b) If r = t - 1, then f is a non-decomposable PBF if and only if there exist TSs A<sub>1</sub> = {β<sub>1</sub>, β<sub>2</sub>, β<sub>1</sub> + β<sub>2</sub>}, A<sub>2</sub> = {1 + β<sub>1</sub>, β<sub>3</sub>, 1 + β<sub>1</sub> + β<sub>3</sub>}, and A<sub>i</sub> = {1 + β<sub>i</sub>, β<sub>i+1</sub>, 1 + β<sub>i</sub> + β<sub>i+1</sub>}, 3 ≤ i ≤ r such that A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>r</sub> are slim TSs and A<sub>2</sub>, ..., A<sub>r-1</sub> are fat TSs, and supp(f) = {β<sub>i</sub>, 1 + β<sub>i</sub>|1 ≤ i ≤ t}.

#### Thanks for the Attention!

Question?